

# Knights and Knaves and Naive Set Theory

Oscar Levin

University of Northern Colorado

MathFest 2018, Denver

Joint work with Tyler Markkanen

# 1996 Putnam Exam B1

Define a **selfish** set to be a set which has its own cardinality (number of elements) as an element. Find, with proof, the number of subsets of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  which are minimal selfish sets, that is, selfish sets none of whose proper subsets is selfish.

Let  $X = \{5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ . Find a set  $A \subseteq X$  with  $|A| \in A$ .

# Paradox!

$$A = \{2, |A|\}$$

$$B = \{1, 3, |B|\}$$

$$C = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, |C|\}$$

# Puzzle!

Notation:  $|A| = a$ .

What is the cardinality of  $A = \{2, 3, a\}$  (if it exists)?

Unique solution

What is the cardinality of  $A = \{4, a, 2a\}$ ?

Two solutions

What is the cardinality of  $A = \{1, 2, a, a - 1\}$ ?

Three solutions

# Unique Solution Cardinality Puzzles

## Definition

A cardinality puzzle is a description of a set  $A$  that explicitly mentions the cardinality of  $A$ .

When does a cardinality puzzle have a unique solution?

$$A = \{3, 4, a, a + 1, 2a - 1\}$$

Consider the cases:  $a = 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Only one works:  $A = \{3, 4, 5\}$ .

# Inverse Puzzle Problem

Which sets are the unique solution to a cardinality puzzle?

If we insist that  $a$  is listed as an element, then only selfish sets.

In fact. . .

## Proposition

*$A$  is the unique solution to a cardinality puzzle iff  $A$  is selfish.*

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{1, 5, 6, 10, 13, 42\} \\ A &= \{1, 5, a, 10, 13, 42, f(a)\} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $f(a)$  is the line through  $(6, 42)$  and  $(7, 13)$

# Knights and Knaves

$A = \{2, a\}$   $\cong$  I'm a knave

$A = \{1, a\}$   $\cong$  I'm a knight

$A = \{3, a\}$   $\cong$  X

???  $\cong$  He is a knave  
We are both knights

# Symbiotic Sets

Let  $|A| = a$  and  $|B| = b$ . Find the cardinalities:

$$A = \{3, b\}$$

$$B = \{1, a, b\}$$

Al: Bob is a knave.

Bob: We are both knights.

- ▶ Suppose  $a = 1$ . Then  $b = 3$ . But then  $B = \{1, 1, 3\}$
- ▶ Suppose Al is a knave. This means Bob is a knight. But Bob's statement is false.
- ▶ Thus  $a = 2$ , so  $B = \{1, 2, b\}$  and  $b \neq 3$ . So  $b = 2$ .
- ▶ Thus Al is a knight, so Bob is a knave (and indeed his statement is false).

# What about Carl?

Al: Only one of us is a knave.

Bob: No, only one of us is a knight.

Carl: We are all knaves.

$$A = \{1, 3, 5, 6, 7, b, c - 7\} \qquad 5 \leq a \leq 7$$

$$B = \{7, 11, a, c\} \qquad 2 \leq b \leq 4$$

$$C = \{4, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, a, b, c\} \qquad 8 \leq c \leq 11$$

A set “asserts” all its elements are distinct (its size is maximal).

# Open Questions

- ▶ Does every knight and knave puzzle have a matching cardinality puzzle?
- ▶ Is the correspondence better suited for multi-valued logics?  
There are lots of ways for a set to “lie.”

# Thanks!

Slides:



[math.oscarlevin.com/research/](http://math.oscarlevin.com/research/)